GOVERNANCE AND EFFECTIVENESS COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF THE GUILDHALL SCHOOL OF MUSIC & DRAMA

Monday, 28 October 2019

Minutes of the meeting of the Governance and Effectiveness Committee of the Board of Governors of the Guildhall School of Music & Drama held at the Guildhall EC2 at 11.00 am

Present

Members:

Professor Maria Delgado (Chair)

Vivienne Littlechild (Deputy Chairman)

Ann Holmes

Graham Packham

Marianne Fredericks

Officers:

Greg Moore - Town Clerk's Department Rofikul Islam - Town Clerk's Department

Katharine Lewis - Secretary & Dean of Students, Guildhall School of Music & Drama

1. APOLOGIES

Apologies were received from Lynne Williams.

2. MEMBERS' DECLARATIONS UNDER THE CODE OF CONDUCT IN RESPECT OF ITEMS ON THE AGENDA

There were no declarations.

3. **PUBLIC MINUTES**

The public minutes of the meeting held on Wednesday 3 July 2019 were approved as a correct record.

4. ANNUAL AGENDA PLAN FOR THE BOARD OF GOVERNORS

The Committee received a report of the Principal which presented a draft annual agenda plan for future meetings of the Board of Governors.

The Chair welcomed the report, noting the importance of ensuring a good depth and breadth of items, as well as ensuring the Board continued to demonstrate best practice in its capacity as a leading conservatoire. She sought Governors' views as to the proposed plan and, during discussion, the following points were raised:

- It was noted that two items, viz.: "Update on Statutory Returns" and "Note on 5-Year Access Plan", were listed as for circulation only. The Secretary & Dean of Students advised that, whilst both items were not formal Board papers or required to be considered by Governors, it was nevertheless a helpful and timely opportunity to keep Governors' up-to-date on their responsibilities and to maintain awareness of key issues.
- With reference to the Research & Knowledge Exchange annual report, the Chair reminded Governors of the division of responsibilities following the

dissolution of HEFCE. Whilst the majority of HEFCE's activity had been taken on by the Office for Students (OfS), research funding responsibilities had transferred to Research England. The School was required to provide a code of practice to govern submissions under the Research Excellence Framework (REF) which would, in turn, determine the School's seven-year research funding allocation. Therefore, as a matter of good practice, she felt it was important for the Board to be sighted on the relevant code of practice and suggested this come to February's Board meeting.

- It was advised that the Development and Fundraising annual report would be presented to the May meeting of the Board, as their reporting would align with City Corporation's Financial Year rather than School's Academic Year.
- Governors were extremely supportive of the proposal for an annual item reporting on the Student Union's activities, observing that it was good practice for the Board to monitor what was being delivered with the grant the School provided. Logistical issues such as timing and engagement of respective Presidents was discussed, following which, the Chair suggested that the School provide the Students' Union with a template report and that this be populated with achievements as the academic year progressed.
- It was noted that the safeguarding update was a standing item which should be considered at every meeting.
- Governors discussed the role of the Academic Assurance Working Group, which had suggested that an update on some of the academic themes should be seen by the Board in more depth during the academic year. In particular, the Chair noted items such as grade inflation and diversity and equal opportunity for students, which were high on the agenda for the media and the OfS and would merit more visible discussion by the Board. It was suggested that February might be a suitable meeting for the start of these discussions.
- It was noted that the refresh of the Teaching and Learning Strategy had been delayed as a consequence of departmental restructures and reorganisations. Recruitment was now underway for an Associate Dean who would be responsible for this activity and it was therefore expected that the relevant report would come to the Board in September 2020.
- There was a lack of clarity in respect of the proposal to explore the impact of Barbican / Guildhall programmes. It was suggested that the Secretary & Dean of Students liaise with the Director of Learning & Engagement to identify what form this item might take and when.
- It was suggested that it would be helpful if a list of documents and strategies could be produced, setting out which of these were available in the public domain and where they could be located. It was also asked that a note setting out the membership and meeting dates of all the Board's committees and working groups be produced, to help with visibility.

RESOLVED: That the agenda plan be endorsed for adoption during the year, subject to the comments made during discussion.

5. UPDATE ON GOVERNANCE ARRANGEMENTS

The Committee received the report of the Principal providing an update on governance arrangements.

It was advised that the Town Clerk's representative would remain the single point of contact across all meetings of the Board and its Committees moving forward; this would be supplemented by the attendance of colleagues within the Town Clerk's department to assist with administrative items. The Chair was pleased to note the confirmation of the position, observing the importance of a single point of contact and the risks posed by alternative arrangements, which would need to be highlighted to the Audit & Risk Management Committee should the situation change.

The importance of attendance at meetings was also discussed and it was advised that the forthcoming recruitment strategy for co-opted Members would include suitable information clarifying the time commitment and expectations associated with the role. It was suggested that rolling attendance figures should be made available and used to inform consideration around the extension or reappointment of serving Governors.

RESOLVED: That the report be noted.

6. **DRAFT ROLE DESCRIPTOR FOR A CO-OPTED MEMBER OF THE BOARD**The Committee received a report of the Principal presenting a draft role descriptor for co-opted Governors.

It was noted that this role descriptor would form part of the suite of documents comprising the co-opted member recruitment strategy, which had been alluded to during discussion of the previous item.

Governors made the following comments:

- The importance of honest and frank conversation with candidates in relation to workload and expected time commitment was emphasised.
- Specific reference should be included relating to the ability of co-opted Governors to Chair the various Committees reporting to the Boad, with it also made clear that membership and chairing of these Committees would be expected.
- It was suggested that no more than four people should be on the interview panel for candidates, as larger panels tended to be unwieldy and intimidating. The possibility of using serving co-opted Members as either panel members or observers should also be explored.

RESOLVED: That the draft role descriptor be approved, subject to the comments made during debate.

7. QUESTIONS ON MATTERS RELATING TO THE WORK OF THE COMMITTEE

Recruitment

It was noted that the Audit & Risk Management Committee wished to advertise for two co-opted Members with relevant qualifications and experience. Some preliminary work on a recruitment pack had been undertaken and would be incorporated within the broader co-opted recruitment strategy.

Timing of Meetings

A Member asked if thought could be given to scheduling meetings back-to-back or at different times, in order to minimise the impact for those travelling from afar. The Town Clerk and Secretary & Dean of Students agreed to look into this matter, although tt was noted that there were some limitations in respect of sequencing, as there was a need to allow for information to be fed through to the Board and for various statutory returns to be considered at the right time.

Remote Access to Meetings

A Governor asked if, with the advancement of technology, if it would be possible for Members of the Committee to participate via Skype or similar technology. The Town Clerk advised of the current legal constraints preventing this, which were to be explored through the forthcoming Governance Review. Together with ongoing activity to ensure the appropriate technology and infrastructure was in place to facilitate remote participation, it was hoped that there would be some progress in this area over the coming months.

8. ANY OTHER BUSINESS THAT THE CHAIRMAN CONSIDERS URGENT There were no urgent items.

9. **EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC**

RESOLVED – That under Section 100(A) of the Local Government Act 1972, the public be excluded from the meeting for the following items on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Part I of the Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act.

10. NON PUBLIC MINUTES

The non-public minutes of the meeting held on Wednesday 3 July 2019 were approved as a correct record.

11. RE-PRESENTING THE COMPLIANCE STATEMENT WITH THE HIGHER EDUCATION CODE OF GOVERNANCE

The Committee received a report of the Principal concerning the revised compliance statement for the Higher Education Code of Governance.

12. NON-PUBLIC QUESTIONS ON MATTERS RELATING TO THE WORK OF THE COMMITTEE

There were no questions.

13. ANY OTHER BUSINESS THAT THE CHAIRMAN CONSIDERS URGENT AND WHICH THE BOARD AGREE SHOULD BE CONSIDERED WHILST THE PUBLIC ARE EXCLUDED

There were no urgent items.

The meeting ended at 12.40 pm

Chairman

Contact Officer: Greg Moore Tel. no: 020 7332 1399

gregory.moore@cityoflondon.gov.uk

